

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,257	01/07/2002	Carlos Manuel Miyares Cao	LEXSA.P0024	2224
75	590 09/23/2003		•	
Lackenbach Siegel Marzullo			EXAMINER	
Aronson & Greenspan One Chase Road			WITZ, JEAN C	
Scarsdale, NY	10383		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651 DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/914,257	14,257 MIYARES CAO, CARLOS			
		MANUEL				
		Examiner	Art Unit			
1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jean C. Witz ears on the cover sheet with the	1651 correspondence address			
Period for F			•			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In its communication in its communication in its communication. It is communication in its communication in its communication in its communication. It is communication in its c	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> ⊤	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
	ince this application is in condition for allowa					
Disposition	losed in accordance with the practice under a of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Cl	aim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a	) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
5)□ CI	aim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7)∐ CI	aim(s) is/are objected to.	<b>8</b> -				
	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application						
	e specification is objected to by the Examiner					
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	' '			
	e proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arrinor.				
	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/s	a)-(d) or (f)			
	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority and 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(c	z) (d) 01 (l).			
	<ul><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ul>	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burthe attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior applications are applications.	ity documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage			
	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·				
a) [	The translation of the foreign language promoved the comment of the foreign language promoved in the comment of	visional application has been rec	ceived.			
Attachment(s)		-				
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Toraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Toraftsperson's Patement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · Patent Application (PTO-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## Claim Objections

Claims 3-4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can only refer to previous claims in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n). However, the claims have been further treated on the merits as addressed below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by FR 0298787.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,257

Art Unit: 1651

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,806,523 to Bentz et al.

The patent to Bentz et al. teaches that TGF- $\beta$  may be used to treat inflammation. At col. 3, lines 8-15, the patent teaches that TGF- $\beta$  is conventionally obtained from placental tissues by extracting the placental by acid-ethanol after which the TGF- $\beta$  is isolated from the extract. At col. 8, lines 7-13, the TGF- $\beta$  may be formulated for application in the form of a salve, ointment, or other topical formulation in the treatment of psoriasis.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to save time and other resources by extracting placenta with alcohol but not further isolating the TGF- $\beta$ , and using the extract in the treatment of psoriasis. Since the practitioner would know that the active ingredient, TGF- $\beta$ , would be expected to be present in the alcoholic extract of the placenta, the practitioner would have a reasonable expectation that the TGF- $\beta$  in the extract would act beneficially in the treatment of psoriasis. Optimization of the amount of extract necessary to show a

Application/Control Number: 09/914,257

Art Unit: 1651

beneficial effect, absent objective evidence to the contrary, is deemed to be well within the skill of the practitioner.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,806,523 to Bentz et al. as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,612,052 to Shalaby.

Shalaby teaches that a hydrogel may be conventionally used to formulate topical compositions for treatment of conditions of the skin. Therefore, it is deemed conventional and well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to formulate a composition for topical administration as a hydrogel for the benefits of providing a protective barrier and controlled release of the active ingredient.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (703) 308-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Page 5

fean C. Witz Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

September 22, 2003